UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.       | FILING DATE                     | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/811,421 03/26/2004 |                                 | Fusao Ishiguchi      | 04536.034001        | 2620             |
| 22511<br>OSHA LIANG   |                                 | 3                    | EXAMINER            |                  |
| 1221 MCKINN           |                                 |                      | HAILU, TESHOME      |                  |
|                       | SUITE 2800<br>HOUSTON, TX 77010 |                      |                     | PAPER NUMBER     |
|                       |                                 |                      | 2139                |                  |
|                       |                                 |                      |                     |                  |
|                       |                                 |                      | NOTIFICATION DATE   | DELIVERY MODE    |
|                       |                                 |                      | 09/22/2008          | ELECTRONIC       |

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

docketing@oshaliang.com buta@oshaliang.com

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s)     |  |
|-----------------|------------------|--|
| 10/811,421      | ISHIGUCHI, FUSAO |  |
| Examiner        | Art Unit         |  |
| TESHOME HAILU   | 2139             |  |

|   | TESHOME HAILU   | 2139  |                                      |  |  |  |
|---|---|---|--------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe   | ars on the cover sheet with the c   | correspondence addr   | ess                                  |  |  |  |
| THE REPLY FILED 25 August 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.  |   |   |                                      |  |  |  |
| 1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appetor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:   | replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit<br>eal (with appeal fee) in compliance       | , or other evidence, wh<br>with 37 CFR 41.31; or            | nich places the<br>(3) a Request     |  |  |  |
| a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date   | of the final rejection.   |   |                                      |  |  |  |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(1)  | ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing<br>b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE<br>').   | date of the final rejection<br>FIRST REPLY WAS FILI         | n.<br>ED WITHIN TWO                  |  |  |  |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL  | ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origin | of the fee. The appropriat<br>nally set in the final Office | e extension fee<br>action; or (2) as |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENIAN.</li> </ol>  | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to   | avoid dismissal of the                                      |                                      |  |  |  |
| AMENDMENTS  |   |   |                                      |  |  |  |
| 3.  The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in between the content of the con | nsideration and/or search (see NOT<br>w);   | E below);   |                                      |  |  |  |
| appeal; and/or  | to roll for appear by materially rec  | rading or diripinying the                                   | 0 100000 101                         |  |  |  |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a c  |   | cted claims.  |                                      |  |  |  |
| NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12  |   | mnliant Amondment (D  | TOL 324)                             |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li> </ul>   |   | ripliant Amendment (i                                       | 10L-324).                            |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>   |   | imely filed amendment                                       | canceling the                        |  |  |  |
| 7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:  |   | be entered and an ex  | planation of                         |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-8</u> .   |   |   |                                      |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  |   |   |                                      |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE</li> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ul>  |   |   |                                      |  |  |  |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing<br>entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o<br>showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary   | vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea<br>and was not earlier presented.  Se   | ıl and/or appellant fails<br>ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).         | to provide a                         |  |  |  |
| 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation  | n of the status of the claims after er  | itry is below or attache                                    | d.                                   |  |  |  |
| REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER  11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but .  | does NOT place the application in   | condition for allowance                                     | e because:                           |  |  |  |
| 12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. Other:  | PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)  |   |                                      |  |  |  |
| /Kristine Kincaid/<br>Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2139  |   |   |                                      |  |  |  |
| ,   |   |   |                                      |  |  |  |

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Applicant have amended independent claims 1, 5 and 8 and added a new claim language. The new claim limitation, "a flash memory in which key data associated with information on a digital video disc is recorded in advance in a prescribed address in an unused specific area", would require further consideration.